

# Estimation of validation parameters of Uv-Spectrophotometric method for analysis of Valsartan

Dobrina Tsvetkova\*, Stefka Ivanova

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University-Sofia, 2 Dunav str., Sofia 1000, Bulgaria.

**Correspondence:** Dobrina Tsvetkova, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University-Sofia, Dunav str. N: 2, 1000, Sofia, Bulgaria. E mail: dobrinka30@mail.bg

## ABSTRACT

The aim of recent investigation was the estimation of the validation analytical parameters selectivity, linearity, LOD, LOQ, accuracy and precision for UV-spectrophotometric method for analysis of Valsartan at  $\lambda_{max} = 252 \text{ nm}$  (99.98 % ethanol) and  $\lambda_{max} = 250 \text{ nm}$  (methanol). Selectivity was proved by the fact that in UV-spectra of blank solution was not observed the measured absorbance at Valsartan specific wavelengths. The experimental results were subjected to a linear regression analysis: 99.98 % ethanol:  $y = 81628.x - 0.0226$  ( $A > 0.2$ );  $y = 88004.x - 9.10^{-5}$  ( $A < 0.2$ ); methanol:  $39508.x + 0.095$  ( $A > 0.2$ );  $53659.x + 0.008$  ( $A < 0.2$ ). Linearity is characterized by coefficient of linear regression:  $R^2 > 0.98$ . In 99.98 % ethanol  $LOD = 1.84 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $LOQ = 6.12 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ g/ml}$ ; in methanol:  $LOD = 9.4 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $LOQ = 3.16 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ g/ml}$ . Accuracy is represented by the degree of recovery, which suit confidence intervals:

1) 99.98 % ethanol:  $RC_{V160} : 97.51 \% \div 99.11 \%$ ; 2) methanol:  $RC_{V160} : 97.74 \% \div 100.06 \%$ .

Results for precision correspond to the relevant interval:

1) 99.98 % ethanol:  $C_{V160} : 157.06 \text{ mg} \div 157.94 \text{ mg}$ ; 2) methanol:  $C_{V160} : 157.52 \text{ mg} \div 158.90 \text{ mg}$ .

**Keywords:** Valsartan, UV-spectrophotometry, validation, accuracy, precision, linearity.

## Introduction

Angiotensin-receptor antagonists Irbesartan<sup>[1]</sup>, Olmesartan<sup>[2]</sup> and Valsartan (Figure 1)<sup>[3]</sup> are applied for treatment of high blood pressure.




Figure 1: Chemical structure of Valsartan.

For analysis of sartans often are applied UV-spectrophotometry

Access this article online

Website: [www.japer.in](http://www.japer.in)

E-ISSN: 2249-3379

**How to cite this article:** Dobrina Tsvetkova, Stefka Ivanova. Estimation of validation parameters of Uv-Spectrophotometric method for analysis of Valsartan. J Adv Pharm Edu Res 2018;8(3):37-42.

**Source of Support:** Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

<sup>[4]</sup> and HPLC<sup>[2]</sup>. For determination of Valsartan in pure form are described HPLC ( $\lambda = 233 \text{ nm}$ )<sup>[5]</sup> and UV-spectrophotometry<sup>[6]</sup> and for tablets are reported: HPLC:  $\lambda = 210 \text{ nm}$ <sup>[7]</sup>;  $\lambda = 273 \text{ nm}$ <sup>[8]</sup>;  $\lambda = 265 \text{ nm}$  and second derivative UV-spectrophotometry.<sup>[9]</sup> First-derivative UV-spectrophotometry and HPLC were used for simultaneously determination of Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in dosage forms.<sup>[10]</sup>

HPLC disadvantage is the requirement of a skilled technician for monitoring. The disadvantage of derivative spectrophotometry is susceptibility towards changes in the apparatus parameters. Small differences in the wavelength setting have a great effect on the result, especially in the zero-crossing technique, where errors in the registration of the spectrum are the reason for method non-reproducibility. The advantage of the classical UV spectrophotometry in comparison with HPLC is that is inexpensive and easy to use. In comparison with UV-derivative method, conventional UV-method is with low susceptibility towards changes in the apparatus parameters.<sup>[11]</sup>

Due to these reasons, the aim of current study was the estimation of the validation analytical parameters selectivity, linearity, LOD, LOQ, accuracy and precision for conventional UV-spectrophotometric method for analysis of Valsartan in

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

99.98 % ethanol at  $\lambda_{\max} = 252 \text{ nm}$  and in methanol at  $\lambda_{\max} = 250 \text{ nm}$ .

## Materials

I. Reference standard: Valsartan (98 %) (Sigma Aldrich, N: SML 0142).

II. Reagents with analytical grade of purity: 99.98 % ethanol (Sigma Aldrich, N: SZBD 0500 V UN 1170), methanol (99.9 %) (Sigma Aldrich, N: SZBD 063AV UN 1230).

## METHODS. UV-spectrophotometry.

**I. Equipment** – UV-VIS diode array spectrophotometer (Hulllett Packard N: 8452 A).

**II. Validation of analytical parameter linearity.**

### 1. Preparation of solutions of reference standard Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol and methanol.

An accurately weighed quantities from reference standard Valsartan were dissolved in a volumetric flask of 200.0 ml respectively in 99.98 % ethanol (10 mg, 20 mg, 60 mg, 120 mg, 140 mg, 160 mg, 200 mg) and methanol (24 mg, 34 mg, 50 mg, 70 mg, 120 mg, 160 mg, 200 mg). From every solution an aliquot part of 1.0 ml separately was diluted with 99.98 % ethanol to 100.0 ml ( $5.10^{-7} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $1.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $3.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $6.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $7.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $8.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $1.10^{-5} \text{ g/ml}$ ) and in methanol ( $1.2.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $1.7.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $2.5.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $3.5.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $6.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $8.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $1.10^{-5} \text{ g/ml}$ ).

### 2. Preparation of model mixtures of reference standard Valsartan for validation of the method in terms of analytical parameters accuracy and precision (repeatability).

Three equal homogenous model mixtures were prepared from the most used in tablets supplement starch by adding of reference standard Valsartan, equivalent to: 75 %: 120 mg (V120), 100 %: 160 mg (V160), 125 %: 200 mg (V200) of its concentration in tablets (160 mg). For every mixture were prepared 3 samples by accurately weighed quantity, containing reference standard Valsartan: 120 mg, 160 mg and 200 mg. All samples were dissolved separately in 99.98 % ethanol in volumetric flasks 200.0 ml. Aliquot parts of 1.0 ml of every of 9 resulting solutions were diluted with the same solvent to 100.0 ml. to obtain solutions with concentration of Valsartan respectively:  $6.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $8.10^{-6} \text{ g/ml}$ ;  $1.10^{-5} \text{ g/ml}$ . By the same manner were prepared 3 samples from 3 model mixtures of reference standard Valsartan by dissolving in methanol.

For linearity, accuracy and precision all solutions in 99.98 % ethanol were analyzed at  $\lambda = 252 \text{ nm}$  against blank 99.98 % ethanol and the absorbance of solutions in methanol was measured at  $\lambda = 250 \text{ nm}$ , using methanol as blank solution.

## 3. Root limit mean square error method (RMSE) for the determination of limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ).

Calibration curves were constructed by analysis of solutions with low concentrations (absorbance  $A < 0.2$ ). The data were subjected to linear regression analysis and the linear correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ) were obtained. From the regression equation:  $y = a.x + b$  were calculated the predictable absorbance value ( $A_p$ ); the error  $E = |A_p - A|$ ;  $E_2 = [|A_p - A|]^2$ ;  $E_1 = \frac{\sum E_2}{n-2}$ ;  $\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{E_1}$ ;  $\text{LOD} = 3.\text{RMSE}/a$ ;  $\text{LOQ} = 10.\text{RMSE}/a$ . [12]

## Results and Discussion.


### I. Validation of UV-spectrophotometric method. [13-15]

#### 1) Selectivity.

In the same manner like solutions with reference standard Valsartan, blank solutions respectively in 99.98 % ethanol and in methanol were prepared for the estimation of analytical parameter selectivity. In blank solutions was included the used in tablets supplement starch without the active ingredient Valsartan.

Selectivity was proved by the fact that in UV-spectra of blank solutions were not observed the measured absorption at the specific for Valsartan wavelengths.

Spectra for linearity and precision for Valsartan reference standard in 99.98 % ethanol and methanol are illustrated on Fig. 2., Fig. 3. and Fig.4.



**Figure 2:** Linearity and precision for Valsartan reference standard in 99.98 % ethanol.




Figure 3: Linearity and precision for Valsartan reference standard in methanol.




Figure 4: Precision for Valsartan reference standard in methanol.

## 2. Linearity: application of method of linear regression analysis.

Linearity is the range within the signal from the detector remains in linear dependency from the concentration of analyte. [13-15]

From standard Valsartan were prepared a series of solutions with increasing concentrations. For  $A > 0.2$  and  $A < 0.2$  for every concentration the values of the absorbance (A) at the respective wavelength were measured and results are summarized on Table 1.

Table 1. Concentrations and absorbances for reference standard Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol and methanol.

99.98 % Ethanol		Methanol	
Concentration [g/ml]	Absorbance (AU)	Concentration [g/ml]	Absorbance (AU)
1	$5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.07405
2	$1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.09866
3	$3 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.14349
4	$6 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.21994
5	$7 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.35248
6	$8 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.41620
7	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.47955
7	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.47955

Results were subjected to a linear regression analysis. Linearity at  $A > 0.2$  and  $A < 0.2$  is illustrated by the calibration curves: Fig 5. (99.98 % ethanol) and Fig 6. (methanol).

$A > 0.2$





Figure 5: Linearity for Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol ( $\lambda = 250$  nm).





Figure 6: Linearity for Valsartan in methanol ( $\lambda = 250$  nm).

On Table 2. are included parameters of regression equations for Valsartan for  $A > 0.2$  and  $A < 0.2$ , where:  $\lambda$  max [nm] –

absorbance maximum. Linearity is characterized by coefficient of linear regression, which is  $R^2 > 0.98$ .

**Table 2. Characteristics of the UV-method by parameters of regression equations.**

N:	Parameters	99.98 % Ethanol		Methanol	
		A > 0.2	A < 0.2	A > 0.2	A < 0.2
1.	$\lambda$ max (nm)	252	252	250	250
2.	Concentration range (g/ml)	$6.10^{-6}$ $\div 1.10^{-5}$	$5.10^{-7}$ $\div 3.10^{-6}$	$3.5.10^{-6}$ $\div 1.10^{-5}$	$1.2.10^{-6}$ $\div 2.5.10^{-6}$
3.	Regression equation	$81628.x - 0.0226$	$88004.x - 9.10^{-5}$	$39508.x + 0.095$	$53659.x + 0.008$
4.	Slope (a)	81628	88004	39508	53659
5.	Intersept (b)	-0.0226	-9.10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.095	0.008
6.	Correlation coefficient (R <sup>2</sup> )	0.9975	1	0.9800	0.9980

### 3. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ).

LOD and LOQ are based on regression equations for A < 0.2: y = 88004.x - 9.10<sup>-5</sup> (99.98 % ethanol) (Table 3.) and y = 53659.x + 0.008 (methanol) (Table 4.) by application of RMSE-method.

**Table 3. RMSE-method for LOD and LOQ for Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol.**

C [g/ml]	A	Ap	A - Ap	E <sup>2</sup> = $\frac{\sum E^2}{n-2}$	E1 = $\frac{\sum E^2}{n-2}$	RMSE = $\sqrt{E1}$
2.10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.17590	0.17592	0.00002	4.10 <sup>-10</sup>		
1.10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.08795	0.08791	0.00004	1.6.10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.9.10 <sup>-9</sup>	5.39.10 <sup>-5</sup>
5.10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.04388	0.04391	0.00003	9.10 <sup>-10</sup>		
Linear range [g/ml]				5.10 <sup>-7</sup> $\div 3.10^{-6}$		
LOD [g/ml]				1.84.10 <sup>-9</sup>		
LOQ [g/ml]				6.12.10 <sup>-9</sup>		

**Table 4. RMSE-method for LOD and LOQ for Valsartan in methanol.**

C [g/ml]	A	Ap	A - Ap	E <sup>2</sup> = $\frac{\sum E^2}{n-2}$	E1 = $\frac{\sum E^2}{n-2}$	RMSE = $\sqrt{E1}$
2.5.10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.14349	0.14295	0.00054	2.91.10 <sup>-7</sup>		
1.7.10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.09866	0.10002	0.00136	1.85.10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.88.10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.7.10 <sup>-3</sup>
1.2.10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.07405	0.07319	0.00086	7.39.10 <sup>-7</sup>		
Linear range [g/ml]				1.2.10 <sup>-6</sup> $\div 2.5.10^{-6}$		
LOD [g/ml]				9.4.10 <sup>-8</sup>		
LOQ [g/ml]				3.16.10 <sup>-7</sup>		

### 4. Accuracy

Accuracy is the degree of correspondence between the obtained average result of repeated analysis and the actual value. [13-15] On Table 5. are presented data for added content of standard Valsartan in 3 samples for 3 model mixtures in 99.98 % ethanol and methanol: V120 (120 mg, 75 %); (average weight (AV) =

0.28 g); V160 (160 mg, 100 %) (AV) = 0.38 g); V200 (200 mg, 125 %) (AV) = 0.47 g).

**Table 5. Added content of reference standard Valsartan in model mixtures.**

N:	Added V120 [mg]	Weighed V120 [g]	Added V160 [mg]	Weighed V160 [g]	Added V200 [mg]	Weighed V200 [g]
1.	119.4	0.2786	159.6	0.3791	199.5	0.4688
2.	120.1	0.2802	160.2	0.3805	200.3	0.4707
3.	120.8	0.2819	160.8	0.3819	200.7	0.4716
Methanol						
1.	119.49	0.2788	159.07	0.3778	199.79	0.4695
2.	120.3	0.2807	160.42	0.3810	200.21	0.4705
3.	120.6	0.2814	160.51	0.3812	200.68	0.4716

On Table 6. are included the results for absorbances in 99.98 % ethanol: A<sub>V120</sub> (Ast = 0.46730); A<sub>V160</sub> (Ast = 0.63997); A<sub>V200</sub> (Ast = 0.79050) and methanol: A<sub>V120</sub> (Ast = 0.35248); A<sub>V160</sub> (Ast = 0.42844); A<sub>V200</sub> (Ast = 0.47955) and Chauvenet's criterion for absorbances: U.

**Table 6. Absorbances for model mixtures with reference standard Valsartan at  $\lambda = 252$  nm (99.98 % ethanol) and  $\lambda = 250$  nm (methanol).**

N :	A <sub>V120</sub>	U A <sub>V120</sub>	A <sub>V160</sub>	U A <sub>V160</sub>	A <sub>V200</sub>	U A <sub>V200</sub>
1.	0.46532	0.76	0.62982	0.95	0.79301	0.53
2.	0.46555	0.74	0.63016	0.61	0.79233	0.87
3.	0.48782	1.49	0.63234	1.57	0.79684	1.39
$\bar{x}$	0.47290		0.63077		0.79406	
SD	0.01		0.001		0.002	
RSD[%]	2.11		0.16		0.25	
Methanol						
	A <sub>V120</sub>	U A <sub>V120</sub>	A <sub>V160</sub>	U A <sub>V160</sub>	A <sub>V200</sub>	U A <sub>V200</sub>
1.	0.33495	1.23	0.42249	1.22	0.47096	0.66
2.	0.35329	0.61	0.42345	0.26	0.48029	0.28
3.	0.35344	0.62	0.42519	1.48	0.48135	0.38
$\bar{x}$	0.34723		0.42371		0.47753	
SD	0.01		0.001		0.01	
RSD [%]	2.88		0.24		2.09	

Results for the estimation of accuracy for mixtures with Valsartan are presented on Table 7. (99.98 % ethanol) and Table 8. (methanol), where: C – obtained content of Valsartan by method of external standard; R – degree of recovery [%]; U – Chauvenet's criterion for the quantities of Valsartan; N – number of individual measurements (1 ÷ 3);  $\bar{x}$  – mean arithmetic error; S – mean square error; E (%) – relative error; P – confidence possibility: 95 %, t – coefficient of Student: 2.57.

**Table 7. Content of Valsartan in model mixtures in 99.98 % ethanol.**

N:	C <sub>V120</sub> [mg]	R C <sub>V120</sub> [%]	U C <sub>V120</sub>	C <sub>V160</sub> [mg]	R C <sub>V160</sub> [%]	U C <sub>V160</sub>	C <sub>V200</sub> [mg]	R C <sub>V200</sub> [%]	U C <sub>V200</sub>
1.	120.09	100.58	0.46	157.84	98.9	1.13	201.15	100.83	0.79
2.	119.47	99.48	0.69	157.34	98.21	0.53	200.16	99.93	1.12
3.	124.43	103.0	1.15	157.31	97.83	0.63	200.92	100.11	0.35

$\bar{X}$	121.33		157.5		200.74	
$\pm$ SD	$\pm$ 2.7		$\pm$ 0.3		$\pm$ 0.52	
$\bar{R}$ [%] $\pm$	101.0		98.31		100.29	
RSD[%]	$\pm$ 1.78		$\pm$ 0.55		$\pm$ 0.48	
SD	2.7	1.8	0.3	0.54	0.52	0.48
RSD [%]	2.23	1.78	0.19	0.55	0.26	0.48
$S\bar{X}$	1.56	1.04	0.17	0.31	0.3	0.28
P [%]	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
t	2.57	2.57	2.57	2.57	2.57	2.57
$t.S\bar{X}$	4.01	2.67	0.44	0.8	0.77	0.72
$\bar{X}-t.S\bar{X}$	117.32	98.35	157.06	97.51	199.97	99.5
$\div$	$\div$	$\div$	$\div$	$\div$	$\div$	$\div$
$\bar{X}+t.S\bar{X}$	125.34	103.69	157.94	99.11	201.51	101.01
E [%]	1.03		0.11	0.32	0.15	0.28

**Table 8. Content of Valsartan in model mixtures in methanol.**

N:	$C_{V120}$ [mg]	R $C_{V120}$ [%]	U $C_{V120}$	$C_{V160}$ [mg]	R $C_{V160}$ [%]	U $C_{V160}$	$C_{V200}$ [mg]	R $C_{V200}$ [%]	U $C_{V200}$
1.	114.52	95.84	1.16	158.7	99.77	0.94	196.63	98.42	1.16
2.	119.98	99.73	0.62	157.72	98.32	1.06	200.1	99.95	0.59
3.	119.73	99.28	0.54	158.29	98.62	0.1	200.07	99.7	0.57
$\bar{X}$	118.08			158.24			198.93		
$\pm$ SD	$\pm$ 3.08			$\pm$ 0.49			$\pm$ 1.99		
$\bar{R}$ [%] $\pm$	98.28			98.9			99.36		
RSD[%]	$\pm$ 2.17			$\pm$ 0.78			$\pm$ 0.83		
SD	3.08	2.13		0.49	0.77		1.99	0.82	
RSD [%]	2.61	2.17		0.31	0.78		1.0	0.83	
$S\bar{X}$	1.78	1.23		0.28	0.45		1.15	0.47	
P [%]	95.0	95.0		95.0	95.0		95.0	95.0	
t	2.57	2.57		2.57	2.57		2.57	2.57	
$t.S\bar{X}$	4.57	3.16		0.72	1.16		2.96	1.21	
$\bar{X}-t.S\bar{X}$	113.51	95.12		157.52	97.74		195.97	98.15	
$\div$	$\div$	$\div$		$\div$	$\div$		$\div$	$\div$	
$\bar{X}+t.S\bar{X}$	122.65	101.44		158.90	100.06		201.89	100.57	
E [%]	1.51	1.25		0.18	0.46		0.58	0.47	

Accuracy is represented by the degree of recovery  $R$  [%]  $\pm$  RSD [%] as per ICH guidelines. <sup>[13-15]</sup> Results show that at the used confidence possibility all data for  $R$  suit respective interval.

Data for Chauvenet's criterion are lower than maximum permissible value ( $U = 1.68$ ;  $N = 3$ ), which is applied for the assessment of the need for the removal of sharply different results.

### 5) Precision (repeatability)

Repeatability is characterized by the uncertainty of the result, which includes standard deviation (SD), relative standard deviation (RSD) and confidential interval  $\bar{X} \pm t.S\bar{X}$ .<sup>[13-16]</sup> At the corresponding confidence possibility, all results for the

obtained quantities of Valsartan suit the appropriate confidence interval.

### Conclusion

UV-spectrophotometric method for determination of Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol and in methanol by method of external standard was validated for analytical parameters: selectivity, linearity, LOD, LOQ, accuracy and precision. Results for accuracy and repeatability suit respective confidence intervals. The validated method can be applied for the determination of Valsartan in dosage drug preparations.

### Conflicts Of Interests

All authors have none to declare.

### References:

- Pangarkar P.A., Thenge R.R., Mahajan N.M., Adhao V.S., Ajmire P.V. Crystal modification of Irbesartan in presence of additive. *J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res.* 2014; 4(1): 106-113.
- Yunoos M., Rayalla A., Raju B., Venkateswarlu G. Stability indicating RP-HPLC method for the simultaneous estimation of Olmesartan Medoxomil and Atorvastatin calcium in bulk and tablet dosage form. *J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res.* 2014; 4(3): 372-379.
- Hadi N.R., Raheem H.T., Kareem K.J., Kadhim K.A., Taher A. Valsartan modulates the inflammatory response and apoptosis and protects from cerebral ischemia reperfusion injury. *J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res.* 2015; 5(1): 1-14.
- Asati A., Shinde A., Malik S., Asati K.C. Quantitative analysis method development and validation for Irbesartan in bulk drug by ultraviolet spectroscopy. *J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res.* 2014; 4(1): 101-105.
- Kumar V.P.S., Sahu M., Prasad K.D., Shekhar M.C. Development and validation of analytical method for the estimation of Valsartan in pure and tablet dosage form by RP-HPLC method. *Int. J. Res. Pharm. Chem.* 2011; 1(4): 945-949.
- Nataraj K.S., Charya S.V.R., Goud E.S., Saigeethika S., Ramanjineyulu K. Simple quantitative method development and validation of Valsartan in pure form and pharmaceutical dosageform by UV-spectroscopy. *Int. J. Pharm. Bio. Sci.* 2011; 1(2): 67-73.
- Patro S.K., Kanungo S.K., Patro V.J., Choudhury N.S.K. Stability indicating RP-HPLC method for determination of Valsartan in pure and pharmaceutical formulation. *E.-J. Chem.* 2010; 7(1): 246-252.
- Vinzuda D.U., Sailor G.U., Sheth N.R. RP-HPLC method for determination of Valsartan in tablet dosage form. *Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res.* 2010; 2(3): 1461-1467.

9. Tatar S., Serap S. Comparison of UV- and second derivative-spectrophotometric and LC methods for the determination of Valsartan in pharmaceutical formulation. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2002; 30(2): 371-375.
10. Satana E., Altınay S., Göer N.G., Özkan S.A., Sentürk Z. Simultaneous determination of Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in tablets by first-derivative ultraviolet spectrophotometry and LC. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2001; 25(5-6): 1009-1013.
11. Kus S., Marczenko Z., Obarski N. Derivative UV-VIS Spectrophotometry in analytical chemistry. *Chern. Anal. Warsaw* 1996; 41(1): 899-927.
12. Shrivastava A., Gupta V.B. Methods for the determination of limit of detection and limit of quantitation of the analytical methods. *Chron. Young Sci.* 2011; 2(1): 21-25.
13. International Conference on Harmonization Topic Q 2 (R1). Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. CPMP/ICH/381/95) European Medicines Agency, 1995.
14. International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirement for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human use, ICH harmonized tripartite Guideline, Validation of Analytical procedures Text and methodology Q2 (R1), 2005.
15. Gamil A.M. Validation as applied for pharmaceutical processes. *J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res.* 2015; 5(2): 77-86.
16. Ermer J., Ploss H.J. Validation in pharmaceutical analysis – Part II: central importance of precision to establish acceptance criteria and for verifying and improving the quality of analytical data. *J. Pharm. Biomed Anal.* 2005; 37(5): 859-870.