

# Comparative analysis on effect of antibacterial activity of piper longum leaf explants in vivo on escherichia coli and bacillus subtilis

Sudipta Banerjee<sup>1</sup>, M.A. Mallick<sup>2</sup>, G.R. Pathade<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sudipta Banerjee is a research scholar at Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand 825301, <sup>2</sup>M.A. Mallick is with the University Department of Biotechnology, Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand 825301, <sup>3</sup>G.R. Pathade is with the H.V. Desai college, Pune, Maharashtra.

**Correspondence:** S. Banerjee, research scholar at Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand 825301. E-mail: [sudi.banerji18@gmail.com](mailto:sudi.banerji18@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

Antibacterial activity of Piper longum can protect from bacterial infection. Piper longum prevents stomachache, Diseases of spleen, respiratory tract, etc. Study on bacteria Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis gives information about the extent of inhibitory effect of leaf explants of the plant. There was comparison of effects in terms of percentage inhibition by preparing hot ethyl acetate extract of leaf explants, which was further calculated for both the bacterium.

**Keywords:** Piper longum, antibacterial activity, Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis

## Introduction

Micro-organisms such as bacteria are causative agents of many diseases. Diseases caused by bacterial infection can be cured if antibacterial agents are used against them. Inhibitory effect of antibacterial property of leaf explants of Piper longum are beneficial to gather information necessary for treatment of diseases. Two bacteria studied in the present case are Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. Escherichia coli is gram negative bacteria and is causative agent of the diseases like cholecystitis, bacteremia, cholangitis, diarrhea, etc. Bacillus subtilis is gram positive bacteria and it is non-pathogenic, it does not cause disease. In the present work, there is comparison of inhibitory effect of Piper longum leaf explants on gram positive and gram negative bacteria. The percentage inhibition in each case was determined. Further, results of inhibition were better in Escherichia coli as compared to Bacillus subtilis.

## Objective

To evaluate antibacterial activity of leaf explants of *Piper longum*

### Access this article online

Website: [www.japer.in](http://www.japer.in)

E-ISSN: 2249-3379

**How to cite this article:** Banerjee S, Mallick MA, Pathade GR. Comparative analysis on effect of antibacterial activity of Piper longum leaf explants in vivo on Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. J Adv Pharm Edu Res 2017;7(3):325-327.

**Source of Support:** Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

on gram negative and gram positive bacteria i.e., *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* respectively by preparing hot ethyl acetate extract of the leaf explants and to evaluate the inhibitory effects by calculating percentage inhibition in each case.

## Material and methods

*Piper longum* plant was procured from botanical garden of National Research Institute of Basic Ayurvedic Sciences, Nehru Garden, Pune, Maharashtra, India. Bacterial culture i.e., of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* was procured from laboratory of Ferguson College, Pune, Maharashtra. Chemicals used were Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) and Ampicillin.

## Nutrient broth preparation

A media composition of Tryptone - 10 gm/l, Sodium chloride - 10 gm/l, Yeast extract - 5 gm/l and Distilled water - 1000 ml was prepared and adjusted to a pH of 7.2. Sample Dilutions: Preparation of Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) solution: (10 ml)- 1ml DMSO was added to 9 ml sterile nutrient broth in sterile tube. 10 mg leaf extract was added to 1ml DMSO stock solution.

## Preparation of Hot Extracts

10 gm leaf explant powder of Piper longum was taken and mixed with 100 ml ethyl acetate it was then heated at 50°C and kept on shaker overnight, next day it was dried in rotavapour, then filtered using Whatman filter paper and was preserved at 4°C.

**Serial dilutions:**

For leaf extract dilution was done in the ratio 1:1(0.5 ml from stock solution was added to 0.5 ml DMSO), 1:2(0.5 ml from stock solution was added to 1ml DMSO), 1:4 (0.5 ml from stock solution was added to 2 ml DMSO). These Dilutions were prepared for hot extract of leaf.

**Preparation of Bacterial cultures:**

100 ml of nutrient broth was prepared in 2 conical flask, each were autoclaved and then loopful of bacterial culture of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* was inoculated in two flasks. It was then incubated on shaker for 2 hrs (O.D was 0.3 at 620 nm).Inhibition studies was done on ELISA plate.

**Hot extract of leaf and cell suspension of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis***

100 µl of cell suspension and 100 µl of each dilutions (hot extract of leaf) was pipetted in triplicate in 1st row of plate.,In case of positive control 100 µl of cell suspension was added to 100 µl of ampicillin solution (1 ml sterile distilled water was mixed with10 mg antibiotic and stored at 4°C) in 2nd row of plate it was pipetted in each well . In case of solvent control 100µl of DMSO was added to 100 µl of cell suspension in 3rd row of plate,. In case of negative control 200 µl of cell suspension was added in each well in 4th row,. The Plate was incubated at 37°C for 48 hrs. Absorbance was taken at 620 nm on ELISA reader & extent of inhibition was calculated<sup>[1]</sup>.

**Results**

In the case of *Escherichia coli*, for 1:1 dilution of the plant, hot extract of leaf, The percentage inhibition was 4.32%, for 1:2 dilution it was 3.69%, for 1:4 dilution it was 2.25%. All the dilution was taken in triplicates.On the other hand in the case of *Bacillus subtilis*, For 1:1 dilution of the plant hot extract of leaf the percentage inhibition was 5.18%, for 1:2 dilution it was 3.17% for 1:4 dilution it was 2.17%. All dilutions were taken in triplicates in ELISA reader plate. Further, The results of positive control (ampicilin) for *Escherichia coli* and hot extract of leaf explants used as test sample was 74.47% whereas for

*Bacillus subtilis* the percentage inhibition for control was 71.23%.

**Discussion**

In the present work, in case of antibacterial activity there was dose dependent increase in percentage inhibition, Ampicillin was taken as positive control, this antibiotic has antibacterial activity for bacteria used. It is used against *Piper longum* as control to check the extent of antibacterial activity of its leaf explant.Previous studies which was carried on fruits of *Piper longum* to check its antibacterial activity also showed dose dependent increase in the percentage of inhibition<sup>[2,3,4]</sup>.The results of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* showed less percentage of inhibition then their respective positive control.Moreover the result of percentage inhibition for *Escherichia coli* was better than that of *Bacillus subtilis*.The optical densities for *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* are shown in table 1 and table 2 respectively.

Percentage of Inhibition =

$$100 - \left( \frac{\text{Absorbance of test sample}}{\text{Absorbance of Control Sample}} \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Percentage of Inhibition =

$$100 - \frac{\text{Mean of O.D. of the triplicates}}{\text{Mean of control O.D.of corresponding triplicates}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

**Conclusion**

Results give information about the plant's medicinal value and its activity against two bacteria namely *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Comparative analysis between these two bacteria showed that leaf explants has better inhibitory effect on *Escherichia coli* as compared to *Bacillus subtilis*. So, it is necessary to carry study on the above bacterium to gain knowledge which is used to cure diseases caused by bacterial infection.

**Table 1: Antibacterial property (in vivo) for Hot extract of leaf and cell suspension of E.coli**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
A	0.911	0.912	0.921	0.908	0.904	0.901	0.911	0.909	0.905
E. coli+Plant sample	(1:1)	(1:1)	(1:1)	(1:2)	(1:2)	(1:2)	(1:4)	(1:4)	(1:4)
B	0.239	0.240	0.241	0.242	0.243	0.245	0.241	0.245	0.239
E. coli+Ampicillin Positive control									
C	0.343	0.337	0.323	0.341	0.341	0.343	0.347	0.337	0.332
DMSO+ E.coli Solvent control									
D	0.951	0.956	0.961	0.939	0.941	0.937	0.937	0.938	0.936
E.coli Negative control									

Table 2: Antibacterial property (in vivo) for Hot extract of leaf and cell suspension of *B.subtilis*

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
A E. coli+Plant sample	0.912 (1:1)	0.867 (1:1)	0.567 (1:1)	0.956 (1:2)	0.808 (1:2)	0.678 (1:2)	0.909 (1:4)	0.832 (1:4)	0.689 (1:4)
B E. coli+ Ampicillin Positive control	0.238	0.240	0.241	0.244	0.246	0.234	0.212	0.234	0.267
C DMSO+ E.coli Solvent control	0.341	0.343	0.345	0.340	0.341	0.356	0.311	0.321	0.346
D E.coli Negative control	0.897	0.698	0.961	0.939	0.941	0.937	0.937	0.938	0.936

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank principal, Fergusson College, Pune, Maharashtra for granting permission to carry out this part of work in the laboratory of the college.

## References

- Joy B, Sandhya C, Remitha K. Comparison & bioevaluation of Piper longum fruit extracts. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research;2(4): 612-622, 2010
- Abbas Ali M, Mahbub Alam N, Yeasmin S, Khan Astaq M, Abu Sayeed M. Antimicrobial screening of different extracts of Piper longum Linn. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences.;3(6):852-857, 2007
- Lokhande PD, Gawai KR, Kodam KM, Kuchekar BS. Antibacterial activity of extract of Piper longum, Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology;2(6): 574-579. 2007
- Srinivasa Reddy P, Jamil K, Madhusudhan P, Anjani G, Das B. Antibacterial activity of Isolates from Piper longum and *Taxusbaccata*. Pharmaceutical Biology;39(3):236, 2001

**Sudipta Banerjee** is presently research scholar at University department of biotechnology, Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand. She acquired her M.Sc.in Biotechnology from Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh in 2009, B.Sc in

Biotechnology from Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh in 2007. She has also worked as a guest lecturer in P.G. Department of Biotechnology from May,2010 to January, 2014.

Email: [sudi.banerji18@gmail.com](mailto:sudi.banerji18@gmail.com)

**M.A. Mallick** is presently working as Dean, faculty of science, Professor and H.O.D. Department of Botany, Vinoba Bhave University, hazaribagh, Jharkhand. He has acquired his Post doctorate from Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, trombay, Mumbai, Maharashtra, Doctorate from University of Delhi in 1991, M.sc.(Botany) from ranchi University in 1979, B.Sc.(Botany honours) from ranchi university in 1977, Executive MBA HRM from EIILM University, Sikkim in 2012. He has more than 30 years of extensive experience in Teaching and Research & Development in BOTANY and Plant Biotechnology.

Email: [amallick1@rediffmail.com](mailto:amallick1@rediffmail.com)

**Girish Pathade** is presently working as Principal, Haribhai V. Desai College, Pune, Maharashtra. He has acquired his Doctorate from shivaji university, Kolhapur in 1995, M. Phil. from university of Poona in 1989, M.Sc. fro shivaji university, Kolhapur in 1981, B.Sc. from shivaji University, Kolhapur in 1979. He has a total of over 33 years of teaching experience and over 31 years extensive research experience.

Email: [girishpathade@yahoo.co.in](mailto:girishpathade@yahoo.co.in)