The impact of pressure ulcer training program on nurses’ performance
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Pressure ulcers are one of the 5 common causes of patient injury in the world which are preventable problems concerning patient safety. The nursing staff has to care about the patients’ skin and prevent pressure ulcers. Therefore, this study was aimed to determine the impact of pressure ulcer care program on the performance of nurses at the intensive care unit (ICU) over the prevention of pressure ulcer. Methods: This experimental study was performed on nurses working in intensive care units. 66 nurses were included in the study by two-stage sampling method, including random sampling and random allocation, and were divided into intervention and control groups. Research materials included a researcher-made observation checklist. In the intervention group, the training program for pressure ulcer care included a training workshop, presentation of pamphlets and educational CDs and was presented during three 90-minute-length training sessions in groups of 9. Nurses’ performance over pressure ulcer prevention in the control and intervention groups were evaluated before and two weeks after the intervention. Results: Mean score of nurses' performance before and after training in the control group were (57.04±9.65) and (57.69±10.13) respectively and in the intervention group were (54.56±11.45) and (86.28±6.19) respectively. A significant difference was observed between the nurses’ performance before and after training in the intervention group (P value<0.001). Conclusion: The results of the present study showed that nurses' performance over pressure ulcer prevention is not desirable, and the training program of pressure ulcer care can improve their performance in this regard. Therefore, the use of these training methods is recommended to improve the clinical performance of the nurses.
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Introduction

A pressure ulcer is a cell death or local injury of the skin, the underlying tissue, or both. Pressure ulcers result from in tissue blood circulation due to pressure or pressure with friction, shear force, or both, and usually develop on the skin, covering the prominent bones. Today, pressure ulcers are one of the 5 common causes of patient injury in the world and preventable problem regarding patient safety is known. Pressure ulcers occur mostly among the elderly and immobility patients with acute and neurological deficits. Researches show that ICUs have a higher incidence rate of pressure ulcer (up to 59%) in health care. There are several factors in ICU patients that increase the pressure ulcer risk. Typically, these patients have respiratory equipment, urinary catheter, several intravenous catheters, restricting devices, and infusion of vasoactive drugs due to the reduced blood pressure, all of which make the patient unable to move and increases the risk of pressure ulcers. The incidence of pressure ulcer was reported to be in long-term care unit 2.3 to 23.9 %, in acute care unit 0.4 to 38.6 %, at home care 0 to 17 % and in rehabilitation centers 0 to 6 %.

The incidence of pressure ulcer was reported to be in long-term care unit 2.3 to 23.9 %, in acute care unit 0.4 to 38.6 %, at home care 0 to 17 % and in rehabilitation centers 0 to 6 %. In the intensive care unit of educational hospitals affiliated to
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Tehran University of Medical Sciences, the prevalence of bedsores reported to be between 3.7 to 44 %. In a study which was conducted in the ICU of Kerman hospitals over a year, the incidence of pressure ulcer was reported to be 5.34%. [8]

Pressure ulcer increases the length of hospitalization and costs of healthcare for patients’ examination and treatment. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) reported that the length of hospitalization for patients with pressure ulcers is three times longer than the patients without pressure ulcers. [9] This causes the infection emergence, hospitalization complications and increased mortality rates. [9]

Unfortunately, despite advances in technology and preventive equipment, the incidence of pressure ulcer in patients admitted to intensive care units has not diminished, and the incidence of pressure ulcers remains a major problem in all healthcare systems. The literature study has shown that nurses’ lack of knowledge and skills can affect the performance and success of the pressure ulcers preventive interventions and may be associated with an increase in the prevalence of pressure ulcers. Therefore, nurses’ proper knowledge and skills are necessary to prevent, identify and plan appropriate care of the pressure ulcers. [9]

In general, the presence or absence of pressure ulcers is related to the quality of nursing care and the general health of the patient. [10] The prevention of pressure ulcers is a priority in nursing care and is considered a key indicator of the quality of nursing care. However, the strategies for treating and preventing pressure ulcers are not often emphasized. [11] Although guidelines have been provided over the years, the results of various studies indicate that the guidelines for the prevention of pressure ulcers have not been completely implemented in nursing performance and practice. [12]

Considering that the nursing staffs are primarily responsible for the patient’s skin care and for the preventive measures of pressure ulcers, [13,14] it is important to know the role of nurses in preventing pressure ulcers and how this role is affected by education and experience. [14]

Although the basic education about pressure ulcer is offered in nursing academic programs, further education is necessary to prevent pressure ulcers and clinical mastery in treatment. [15] Educational and care programs can be a predicting factor of the behavior, considering that educational and care programs are considered to be means of transferring basic information to patients and caretakers, if the programs can be effectively used for manipulating information to prevent and treat pressure ulcers, and if they are in accordance with patient characteristics and trainee’s knowledge, they will be very effective. [15]

Considering the importance of pressure ulcers prevention as a patient safety indicator in ICUs and the problems these ulcers bring for the patient and therapeutic systems, and that the studies show the nurses education on the pressure ulcer is considered to be means of transferring basic information to patients and caretakers, the aim of this study was to assess the knowledge and skill levels of the nurses and supervisors of the ICUs and the problems these ulcers bring for the patient and therapeutic systems. The literature study has shown that nurses’ lack of knowledge and skills can affect the performance and success of the pressure ulcers preventive interventions and may be associated with an increase in the prevalence of pressure ulcers. Therefore, nurses’ proper knowledge and skills are necessary to prevent, identify and plan appropriate care of the pressure ulcers.

In general, the presence or absence of pressure ulcers is related to the quality of nursing care and the general health of the patient. [10] The prevention of pressure ulcers is a priority in nursing care and is considered a key indicator of the quality of nursing care. However, the strategies for treating and preventing pressure ulcers are not often emphasized. [11] Although guidelines have been provided over the years, the results of various studies indicate that the guidelines for the prevention of pressure ulcers have not been completely implemented in nursing performance and practice. [12]

Considering that the nursing staffs are primarily responsible for the patient’s skin care and for the preventive measures of pressure ulcers, [13,14] it is important to know the role of nurses in preventing pressure ulcers and how this role is affected by education and experience. [14]

Although the basic education about pressure ulcer is offered in nursing academic programs, further education is necessary to prevent pressure ulcers and clinical mastery in treatment. [15] Educational and care programs can be a predicting factor of the behavior, considering that educational and care programs are considered to be means of transferring basic information to patients and caretakers, if the programs can be effectively used for manipulating information to prevent and treat pressure ulcers, and if they are in accordance with patient characteristics and trainee’s knowledge, they will be very effective. [15]

Considering the importance of pressure ulcers prevention as a patient safety indicator in ICUs and the problems these ulcers bring for the patient and therapeutic systems, and that the studies show the nurses education on the pressure ulcer is usually in form of a lecture or a conference, and most studies have only examined nurses’ knowledge about pressure ulcer, therefore, using different educational programs and examining their impacts on nurses’ performance level seems to be necessary. Therefore, in this study, the impact of pressure ulcer training programs on nurses’ performance at the ICUs of Alborz province over the prevention of pressure ulcer was investigated.

Methods and Materials

The present experimental study with pre-post tests of two control and intervention groups was conducted on nurses’ performance at intensive care units related to Alborz University of Medical Sciences. The sampling method was two-stage, including random sampling and random allocation. In the first stage, 35 nurses of internal intensive care units, 35 nurses of trauma intensive care units, and in total 70 nurses were recruited. In the second stage, 35 nurses of internal intensive care units through a random allocation were divided into 2 groups of intervention (17 cases) and control (18 cases); and 35 nurses of trauma intensive care units through a random allocation were divided into 2 groups of intervention (17 cases) and control (18 cases). Inclusion criteria were as follows: Nurses with a bachelor's and higher degrees that worked full-time at intensive care units were in charge of patients with immobility in intensive care units. They had at least one-year experience of working at the ICU and they had not participated in the pressure ulcer training course in the past six months or were not taking the course. The exclusion criteria were the nurses who were not in charge of any patient with pressure ulcers, and also nurses’ displacement was carried out from the intensive care units during the time the research. The researcher received the code of ethics with number IR.SBMUI.PHNM.1395.583 and obtained research license from Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences and submitted it to Alborz University of Medical Sciences and then attended to the affiliated medical centers, introduced herself, stated the purpose and process of the research, and in order to collect information, she was present in the research locations during the weekdays and selected the cases in accordance with study inclusion criteria after providing them with necessary information about the research, keeping the information secret, the right of voluntarily participation and after obtaining the informed consent. The researcher did experimental observations within two weeks to familiarize with the study design, nurses acquaintance with the researcher and to make nurses get accustomed to the presence of an observer. Data collected at the experimental stage was not included in the main study. The data collection tool included 2 parts. The first part of the checklist was the demographic information of the nurses. The second part of the checklist was "the review of nurse's performance on prevention of pressure ulcer", which had 48 items that covered 4 areas including patients’ skin care (20 items); back massage care (6 terms); nutritional care (12 terms) and providing care for body position state, supportive levels and mobility (10 items). The checklist was based on study tools designed in this regard. [6,15] Face validity and content validity were used to determine the validity of the tool. Eight faculty members of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences and two of the nurses who worked as a wound care specialists in the hospital were provided with the tool to examine the contents of the questions in terms of face and content validity and the necessary changes were made on the checklist after collecting the comments. To determine the reliability of the tool, the interobserver correlation coefficient was used. The checklist was marked by the researcher and wound care specialists (both had passed pressure ulcer training courses) for 10 nurses that were approved with correlation coefficient 0.93. The research implementation method consisted of 3 stages. First stage: The researcher completed the checklist of nurses’ performance in different working hours in both groups through direct and indirect study (written reports in patients’ hospital records). Each nurse had been checked out at least 2 times and the average performance values were obtained by the performance scores. Stage Two included conducting an educational workshop for pressure ulcer care program for nurses in the intervention group. The time and place of the training sessions were planned by the nurses and supervisors beforehand, and the training program was conducted for 2 weeks in two 90-minute training sessions in groups of 9. In the first training course, held in the 1st week in a 90-minute session, the nurses were presented with educational content on skin care and evaluation
and determination of pressure ulcer risk factors. In the second training course, held in the second week in a 90-minute session, nurses were presented with the educational content on pressure ulcer prevention. The researcher used slideshow, educational film, the learners’ participation, creation of opportunities for team learning and exchange of information and clinical experiences. Before each training session, a pretest was conducted to assess the nurses’ knowledge level and after each training session, a post-test was conducted to assess the effectiveness of the course and to determine the weaknesses; and immediately after the post-test, the test results were presented to the nurses and in case there were weaknesses and confusions about content and educational concepts, the necessary explanations were provided for each case personally. For the same purpose, an educational CD with a booklet was prepared and given to the cases. However, the control group did not take these interventions. Stage Three: At this stage, the researcher reviewed the nurses’ performance at different working hours through direct and indirect examination (written reports in patients’ hospital records) of the control and intervention groups two weeks after conduction of the pressure care program, and completed the checklist. The results obtained before and after intervention were compared in control and intervention groups. The data were analyzed using descriptive and analytical statistics and SPSS software version16. Descriptive statistics were used for descriptive indicators such as standard deviation and mean of scores. Independent t-test and paired t-test were used to compare mean scores in two groups.

**Results**

Of total 70 nurses, 4 cases excluded from the research due to the displacement from the ICU and 66 cases participated in the 2 groups of intervention (34 cases) and control (32 cases). The results of the study showed that most of the cases were female (92.4%), married (69.7%), had a bachelor degree (93.9%), had 1-6 year work experience (45.45%), had 1-5 year work experience in the ICU (65.15%), were of permanent staffs (40.9%), and had rotational shift work (92.4%). Also, most of the cases (86.4%) had passed no pressure ulcer training courses. There was not any significant difference regarding the demographic variables between the 2 groups.

Results demonstrated that the mean score of nurses’ performance on prevention of the pressure ulcers before the educational program was 57.04 ± 9.63 in control group and 54.56 ± 11.45 in the intervention group and there was not any significant difference between the 2 groups. After conducting an educational program the mean score in the control group was 70.34 ± 13.19 and in the intervention group was 67.58 ± 18.01 that showed a significant difference between the groups (P value 0.001), Table 1. Moreover, there was a significant difference in the intervention group regarding the mean score of nurses’ performance before and after the intervention (P-value 0.001), Table 2.

### Table 1: mean score comparison of nurses’ performance dimensions on the prevention of pressure ulcer before and after intervention in both control and intervention groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Before intervention</th>
<th>After intervention</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Intervention group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean &amp; SD</td>
<td>Mean &amp; SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients skin care</td>
<td>69.70±12.60</td>
<td>67.58±14.98</td>
<td>P=0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=−0.61</td>
<td>70.34±11.19</td>
<td>94.36±7.88</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back massage care</td>
<td>17.44±11.77</td>
<td>21.32±19.04</td>
<td>P=0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=0.94</td>
<td>20.05±17.30</td>
<td>70.09±18.01</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional care</td>
<td>71.35±11.38</td>
<td>71.89±16.06</td>
<td>P=0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=0.73</td>
<td>70.05±12.58</td>
<td>95.46±6.18</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care for body position state, supporting levels and mobility</td>
<td>69.68±12.82</td>
<td>55.44±11.76</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=−4.70</td>
<td>70.31±12.88</td>
<td>85.29±7.87</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57.04±9.65</td>
<td>54.56±11.45</td>
<td>P=0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=−0.95</td>
<td>57.69±10.13</td>
<td>86.28±6.19</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Mean score comparison of nurses’ performance dimensions on the prevention of pressure ulcer separately in the control and intervention groups before and two weeks after the intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Before intervention</th>
<th>After intervention</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Intervention group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean &amp; SD</td>
<td>Mean &amp; SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients skin care</td>
<td>69.70±12.60</td>
<td>70.34±11.19</td>
<td>P=0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=−0.74</td>
<td>67.58±14.98</td>
<td>94.26±7.88</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back massage care</td>
<td>17.44±13.77</td>
<td>20.05±17.30</td>
<td>P=0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=−1.24</td>
<td>21.32±19.04</td>
<td>70.09±18.01</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional care</td>
<td>71.35±11.18</td>
<td>70.05±12.58</td>
<td>P=0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T=1.16</td>
<td>73.89±16.06</td>
<td>95.46±6.18</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion and Conclusion

One of the main indicators of the nursing care quality in the health centers is the incidence rate of pressure ulcers, therefore, the nurses should have proper knowledge and performance on pressure ulcer in order to provide qualified nursing care and rehabilitation. As the findings indicated, the mean score of performance in the control and intervention group was 55.8% before the intervention and it was close to the study results by Saifollahi and et al. (2016), and the mean score of nursing care quality in the prevention of the pressure ulcer was 50.5%. [8]

Also, in this study, regarding the scores of nurses' performance area in prevention of pressure ulcer, the lowest score (19.38) was in the area of skin massage that was consistent with Saifollahi and et al., that also had the lowest score (36.52) in the area of skin care. The insufficient knowledge in providing proper care in skin massage, shortage of nursing staff and a heavy workload can result in the low score level of nurses' performance in this regard.

In the present study, regarding the mean scores of pressure ulcer prevention before the intervention in both groups, the lowest mean scores were related to the following conditions: changing patients’ body position state every 2 hours (10.6); slow and circular back massage (15.3); avoiding the massage of the red skin areas (22.5); daily replacement of patients’ bed sheets (24.2); avoiding the pull of patient on the bed sheets during replacement (27.3); and the removal of pressure from the heel (27.5); The use of olive oil in dry skin massage and use of talc powder in fatty skin massage was not observed. Besides, the highest scores of measures were related to avoiding the use of pillow with plastic covers; using of water with body temperature when skin cleansing; Checking the fluid intake and output; feeding the patient unable to eat; the use of gavage or TPN, that obtained 100% score, and replacing the bedding if wet (98.5); use of loose and cotton cloth for patients (96.9); use of puree and soft food; putting patients’ organs in the right position state (93.9); use of supplements in diets of thin and weak patients (92.5); skin cleansing (88.15). In a study by Hoviattalab et al (2014), changing the patients’ position state was performed in less than half of the patients (40.6%) and removing the pressure off the heel was done in half of the patients (50%). [7] However, the results show that the performance rate of these measures by nurses participating in this study was much lower than that of Hoviattalab et al. Besides, in the study of Hoviattalab et al., reduction of exposure to moisture (98.8%) and skin cleansing (93.8%) were highly adopted measures for the patients and is consistent with the present study. Protecting skin during patient transport (90.63 %) was one of the main measures performed in Hoviattalab et al., while in this study, avoiding the patient being pulled over the bed surface during the movement (27.3) was one of the measures that had the lowest score.

In the present study, after the educational intervention, improvement in nurses’ performance in the intervention group was made and there was a significant difference between the mean score of pressure ulcer prevention before and after the intervention. The results were consistent with the results of research by Goljehini et al. (2017) that assessed the impact of clinical-based instruction on nurses’ performance in the prevention of pneumonia related to the ventilator. [7] The results of this study showed that nurses’ performance after the intervention had a significant upgrade in comparison to the control group. Results of the present study are consistent with the results obtained by Hazrati et al. (2016) that examined the effectiveness of training in small groups on the performance of nurses at ICU in following drug-food instructions through the use of intestinal stomach feeding tube, and the results of the study showed that before training, most nurses in both control and intervention groups did not have proper performance and conducting the training course for the intervention group improved their performance. [10] Also, the results of this study are consistent with the results of Fallahinia and et al. study (2018); [18] since in this study, after the training, the mean score of standard suction increased with a significant difference compared to pre-training scores. The results of the present study are also consistent with the findings of Varaei et al. (2016), which showed that the multimedia training program improved the knowledge and performance of the nurses about the immune injection. [10] According to the researcher, the desirable results in all four studies, suggests that educational approaches can be effective in improving the quality of nursing clinical care.

Conclusion

The results of the present study showed that pressure ulcer training program improved the performance of nurses on pressure ulcer prevention. Since the incidence rate of pressure ulcers is one of the important indicators of patient safety in health centers and especially in intensive care units, the nurses must have proper knowledge and performance on pressure ulcer for the qualified nursing care and rehabilitation. Since pressure ulcer training program was effective on nurses’ performance, training programs should be planned by the health care for the nurses who have an important role in skin care and pressure ulcer so that to obtain the ultimate aim of the health team in providing safe and qualified nursing care for the patients.
Research Limitation
Information about the pressure ulcers that the nurses may obtain through studying books or social networks was out of control of the researcher that might affect the results of the intervention.
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