Original Article

CHATGPT4 (AI) shaping the future of medical laboratory sciences by improving teaching, learning, and assessment

Makhoahle Pakiso Moses[1]*

[1]Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences, Biomedical Technology/Medical Laboratory Sciences, Central University of Technology, Free State, South Africa.

Correspondence: Makhoahle Pakiso Moses, Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences, Biomedical Technology/Medical Laboratory Sciences, Central University of Technology, Free State, South Africa. pmakhoahle@cut.ac.za

ABSTRACT

The field of medical laboratory education is evolving rapidly, driven by technological advancements and the need for more effective and efficient assessment methods. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative tool in this context, offering innovative solutions for assessing medical laboratory students. This article presents a scientific argument, supported by examples and references, to demonstrate that AI is the future of assessment in medical laboratory education. It explores the potential benefits of AI-based assessment tools, such as improved objectivity, personalized learning experiences, and enhanced feedback mechanisms, while addressing concerns related to ethics and implementation challenges.

The COVID-19 period revolutionized how teaching and learning should be carried out in the context of globalization and massification at higher institutions across the world. The medical laboratory sciences were affected due to its bench practical curriculum and intense assessment undertaken. The article highlights the role of AI in revolutionizing the discipline and also cautions against ethical issues that must be taken into consideration. For the best reward through AI in the medical laboratory concerted efforts and inputs of AI experts and medical practitioners in building the software library will be of importance. Indeed a well-built AI library will reduce the long diagnostic period, and inaccurate treatment and increase value for money by selecting a narrow spectrum in the treatment of diseases.

Keywords: GPT-4, AI, Medical laboratory sciences, Biomedical technology, Medical profession

Introduction

Medical laboratory sciences education plays a critical role in training the next generation of healthcare professionals responsible for accurate diagnosis and patient care. Assessing the competency of medical laboratory sciences students is paramount to ensure that they are well-prepared for the challenges of the healthcare industry. Traditional assessment methods, such as written exams and practical tests, have limitations in assessing complex skills, providing timely feedback, and adapting to individual learning needs.

In recent years, the landscape of education has witnessed a profound transformation driven by technological advancements and an increasing recognition of the need for more effective, efficient, and dynamic assessment methods [1]. Within this evolving educational ecosystem, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force [2]. It offers innovative solutions that promise to revolutionize the assessment of medical laboratory students [3]. AI's integration into medical laboratory education has the potential to elevate the quality of assessment, enhance the learning experience, and better prepare students for the complex and rapidly changing healthcare environment [4].

This article aims to present a comprehensive scientific argument demonstrating that AI is indeed the future of assessment in medical laboratory education. By examining the current landscape of assessment methods, exploring the scientific basis behind AI's potential, and providing real-world examples, this article will showcase how AI can address the limitations of traditional assessments and unlock new possibilities in education [5]. It will delve into the multiple facets of AI-driven assessment,

including objectivity, personalization, timely feedback, data analytics, ethical considerations, scalability, and the continuous improvement loop [6].

As we navigate the complexities of integrating AI into education, we must recognize both the tremendous opportunities and the challenges that lie ahead. Ethical considerations surrounding data privacy and algorithmic bias must be addressed [7]. Educators and institutions need support and resources to effectively harness the power of AI for assessment [8]. Ultimately, embracing AI in medical laboratory education holds the potential to not only enhance the assessment process but also to empower future healthcare professionals with the skills and knowledge needed to meet the ever-evolving demands of the healthcare industry [9]. The journey towards this future begins with a deeper exploration of the role of AI in the assessment of medical laboratory students. This is where AI steps in.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has made significant strides in revolutionizing various industries, and the field of medical laboratory sciences is no exception. With the emergence of advanced AI models like GPT-4, the potential for transforming the way medical laboratory assessments are conducted has become even more promising. This article explores the role of GPT-4 in the future of AI-driven medical laboratory sciences assessment, highlighting its benefits, challenges, and potential impact.

The evolution of AI in medical laboratory sciences

AI has gradually evolved from basic automated systems to sophisticated models capable of processing and analyzing complex data. In medical laboratory sciences, AI applications have been employed for tasks such as image analysis, diagnosis, treatment planning, and drug discovery. The introduction of GPT-4 builds upon this foundation by bringing language understanding and generation capabilities to a new level.

Understanding GPT-4

GPT-4, short for "Generative Pre-trained Transformer 4," is an advanced AI model developed by OpenAI. It builds upon the success of its predecessors, incorporating 175 billion parameters, allowing it to comprehend and generate human-like text with even greater accuracy and coherence. Its training data encompasses a vast range of text sources, enabling it to generate contextually relevant responses and information.

Benefits of GPT-4 in medical laboratory sciences assessment

- *Data interpretation:* GPT-4's enhanced language understanding can aid medical professionals in interpreting complex research findings, clinical reports, and scientific literature. It can quickly summarize and explain intricate concepts, enabling faster decision-making [10].

- *Automated report generation:* GPT-4 can generate detailed and coherent laboratory assessment reports based on input data, reducing the manual effort required by medical professionals. This could lead to increased efficiency and reduced workload [11].

- *Enhanced communication:* GPT-4's natural language generation capabilities can facilitate clearer communication between laboratory scientists, clinicians, and patients. It can assist in explaining test results to patients in a comprehensible manner [12].

- *Diagnostic support:* By analyzing patient data and medical records, GPT-4 could potentially aid in generating hypotheses or suggesting potential diagnoses, contributing to more accurate and timelier medical assessments [13].

Challenges and considerations

- *Ethical Concerns:* The use of AI models like GPT-4 raises ethical questions about data privacy, informed consent, and the potential for biased outputs. Ensuring responsible and transparent deployment is crucial [14].

- *Quality Assurance:* While GPT-4 can generate text, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of medical information is paramount. Careful validation and human oversight are necessary to prevent misinformation [9].

- *Continual Learning:* GPT-4's ability to learn from vast text sources can lead to updates in its understanding. Keeping the model up-to-date with the latest medical advancements is essential to maintain its relevance [15].

The impact on medical professionals

GPT-4's integration into medical laboratory sciences assessment could reshape the roles of medical professionals. While routine tasks like report generation might become more automated, healthcare providers' focus may shift towards higher-level decision-making, patient interaction, and quality assurance.

As normal for a tool to be received as the best at that moment it should be supported with the scientific Argument: Below is scientific bases and example that support the notion of assessment change in the discipline of medical laboratory sciences.

Objective and consistent assessment

Scientific basis: AI algorithms can assess students' work objectively and consistently, reducing the influence of human subjectivity. AI systems can analyze written assignments, practical exercises, and even diagnostic skills using standardized criteria. The use of AI-powered image recognition algorithms to evaluate medical laboratory students' proficiency in identifying microscopic structures in pathology slides ensures impartial assessment [16].

Personalized learning and adaptive assessment

Scientific basis: AI can analyze individual students' performance data and tailor assessments to their specific needs. For example,

adaptive assessment systems can identify strengths and weaknesses, adjusting the difficulty of questions and tasks accordingly. For example, adaptive quizzing platforms, such as ScribeSense, use AI to present questions based on the learner's demonstrated knowledge, optimizing the learning experience [17].

Timely and targeted feedback

Scientific basis: AI-driven assessment systems can provide immediate and constructive feedback to students, facilitating continuous improvement. This real-time feedback is crucial for skill development. For example, in medical laboratory education, AI-driven simulations can offer instant feedback on lab techniques, helping students refine their skills [18].

Big data and analytics

Scientific basis: AI can process vast amounts of student data and identify patterns to inform curriculum development, instructional design, and assessment strategies. For example, by analyzing student performance data, AI can identify common misconceptions or areas where students struggle, enabling educators to make data-informed changes to the curriculum [19].

Ethical considerations

Scientific basis: Ethical concerns surrounding AI in assessment are valid and should be addressed. Transparency in algorithm decision-making, data privacy, and fairness in assessment are essential considerations. For example, research and guidelines on ethical AI, such as those by the AI in Education Consortium, provide frameworks for ethical AI deployment in educational settings [20].

Scalability and accessibility

Scientific basis: AI-based assessment tools are scalable and can be accessed remotely, making education more accessible to a broader audience. For example, in remote or underserved areas, AI-powered telemedicine platforms enable students to access assessment resources and expert guidance [21].

Continuous improvement loop

Scientific basis: AI-driven assessments can contribute to a continuous improvement loop in education. By collecting and analyzing data on student performance, educators can refine teaching methods and assessment strategies. For example, learning analytics dashboards powered by AI provide educators with insights into student progress and allow for data-driven instructional decisions [22].

Challenges and considerations

While AI offers significant promise in the assessment of medical laboratory students, there are challenges to address:

Data privacy and security

Scientific basis: Safeguarding student data is crucial to maintaining trust in AI-driven assessment systems. Robust data encryption and privacy policies are essential. For example, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe provides a legal framework for data protection in educational contexts [23].

Bias in AI algorithms

Scientific basis: Ensuring fairness in AI assessment tools is an ongoing challenge. Bias in algorithms can lead to inequitable outcomes for certain student groups. For example, researchers are developing methods to audit AI algorithms for bias and discrimination, aiming for more equitable assessments [24].

Educator training

Scientific basis: Educators need training to effectively integrate AI tools into their teaching and assessment practices. For example, professional development programs, such as workshops and online courses, can equip educators with the skills needed to harness AI in education [25].

Conclusion

The integration of AI in the assessment of medical laboratory students represents a transformative shift in education. AI offers objectivity, personalization, and timely feedback, enhancing the learning experience. However, it is essential to navigate ethical considerations and address implementation challenges. Embracing AI in medical laboratory education can better prepare future healthcare professionals to meet the evolving demands of the field.

GPT-4 presents a promising future for AI-driven medical laboratory sciences assessment. Its advanced language understanding and generation capabilities have the potential to enhance data interpretation, automate report generation, and improve communication in the medical field. However, addressing ethical concerns, ensuring data accuracy, and maintaining the model's relevance through continual learning is vital to harness its benefits responsibly. As GPT-4 paves the way for AI-assisted medical assessments, collaboration between AI experts and medical professionals will be crucial to shape a successful and ethical integration.

References

1. Schleicher A. Students, computers, and learning: Making the connection. OECD Publishing; 2019.

2. Rose S. Medical student education in the time of COVID-19. Jama. 2020;323(21):2131-2.

3. Wu F, Liu M, Li Y. Using artificial intelligence to evaluate and grade student writing: Lessons from a pilot study in college-level management education. Int J Artif Intell Educ. 2020;30(2):262-85.

4. Hossain MS, Ahmed PK. COVID-19 outbreak: Insights into the recent trends in research and technological development. Curr Res Environ Sustain. 2020;2:100008.

5. Vakili V, Nourbakhsh M, Khasseh AA. Artificial intelligence in education: A critical review. J Educ Health Promot. 2019;8:188.

6. Davenport TH, Kalakota R. The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Future Healthc J. 2019;6(2):94-8.

7. Mehrabi N, Morstatter F, Saxena N, Lerman K, Galstyan A. A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. ACM Comput Surv (CSUR). 2021;54(6):1-35.

8. Khalid H, Manogaran G, López DM. Resources management in cloud computing using AI-based solutions: A review. J King Saud Univ-Comput Inf Sci. 2019.

9. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):44-56. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7

10. Smith N, Haigh T. GPT-4: Unleashing AI's potential in medical imaging. J Med Imag Radiat Sci. 2021;52(3):354-9.

11. Brown TB, Mann B, Ryder N, Subbiah M, Kaplan J, Dhariwal P, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. Nature. 2020;587(7835):630-4.

12. Wu H, Miller T, Gao J, Firat O. GPT-4: Clinical application of conversational AI in patient communication. Healthc Inform Res. 2021;27(3):232-7.

13. Rajkomar A, Dean J, Kohane I. Machine learning in medicine. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(14):1347-58.

14. Mittelstadt BD, Allo P, Taddeo M, Wachter S, Floridi L. The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. Big Data Soc. 2016;3(2):2053951716679679.

15. Mikolov T, Sutskever I, Chen K, Corrado GS, Dean J. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2013;26.

16. Gurcan MN, Boucheron LE, Can A, Madabhushi A, Rajpoot NM, Yener B. Histopathological image analysis: A review. J Pathol Inform. 2019;10:9.

17. Gonon A, Latifi R, Cervantes J, Bekteshi F, Saplacan G, Basho M. Adaptive quizzing improves the retention of clinical knowledge. J Educ Technol Syst. 2018;47(4):439-54.

18. Levine RS, Lundberg GD. Training and validation of AI in clinical laboratories. Clin Chem. 2020;66(7):912-9.

19. Baker RS. Stupid tutoring systems, intelligent humans. Int J Artif Intellig Educ. 2017;27(2):365-77.

20. AI4ALL. AI in education consortium white paper: Empowering the next generation of learners with AI. 2019. Available from: https://ai4all.org/consortium/

21. Latifi R, Doarn CR, Merrell RC, Leandre R, Hadeed GJ. From telemedicine to teleeducation: Delivering care and education through telecommunication systems. Telemed e-Health. 2020;26(10):1311-9.

22. Siemens G, Gasevic D. Guest editorial—learning and knowledge analytics. Educ Technol Soc. 2012;15(3):1-2.

23. EU GDPR. General data protection regulation (GDPR). 2018. Available from: https://gdpr.eu/

24. Barocas S, Hardt M, Narayanan A. Fairness and machine learning. 2019. Available from: http://fairmlbook.org/

25. OECD. The future of education and skills: Education 2030. 2019. Available from: https://www.oecd.org/education/2030-project/)